

01
02
03
04
05
06 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
07 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
08 AT SEATTLE

09 SHIRLEY J. BEARD,)
10 Plaintiff,) CASE NO. C12-0131-MJP-MAT
11 v.)
12 MICHAEL ASTRUE,) REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
13 Commissioner of Social Security,)
14 Defendant.)

15 Plaintiff brought this action to seek judicial review of the denial of her application for
16 Supplemental Security Income by the Commissioner of the Social Security Administration.
17 The parties have now stipulated that this case should be reversed and remanded pursuant to
18 sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). (Dkt. 20.)

19 Based on the stipulation of the parties, the Court recommends this case be REVERSED
20 and REMANDED for further administrative proceedings pursuant to sentence four of 42
21 U.S.C. § 405(g). The parties stipulate that, on remand: (1) the Administrative Law Judge
22 (ALJ) will conduct a *de novo* hearing; (2) plaintiff may testify, submit additional evidence, and

01 make new arguments; (3) the ALJ will make a new, full sequential disability evaluation,
02 including re-evaluating plaintiff's impairments; (4) the ALJ will obtain medical expert
03 evidence to clarify the effects of plaintiff's mental impairments; (5) the ALJ will obtain updated
04 psychological testing; (6) the ALJ will reassess whether plaintiff meets a listing; (7) any new
05 medical evidence will be considered; (8) the ALJ will evaluate the medical opinions of Douglas
06 Uhl, Psy.D., Susan Hakeman, M.D., and Dana Harmon, M.D; (9) the ALJ will re-evaluate the
07 medical opinions of Christopher Covert-Bowlds, M.D. and Kevin Zvilna, Ph.D.; (10) if
08 rejecting any medical opinions, the ALJ will provide legally sufficient explanation; (11) the
09 ALJ will re-evaluate other medical evidence, and plaintiff's impairments, credibility, residual
10 functional capacity, and ability to perform work at steps four and five; (12) the ALJ will obtain
11 vocational expert testimony; and (13) the ALJ will issue a new decision for the entire period at
12 issue, considering the evidence from plaintiff's alleged onset date. Additionally, upon proper
13 application, the Court will consider plaintiff's application for attorney fees and expenses
14 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2412, and costs pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1920.

15 Given the above, the Court recommends that Chief United States District Judge Marsha
16 J. Pechman immediately approve this Report and Recommendation and order the case
17 REVERSED and REMANDED for further administrative proceedings. A proposed order
18 accompanies this Report and Recommendation.

19 DATED this 7th day of June, 2012.

20 

21 Mary Alice Theiler
22 United States Magistrate Judge